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Creating walkable compact cities is a global priority, and also a priority in Melbourne. There is a growing body of evidence showing that city design has a profound impact on the willingness and ability of residents to walk for transport. Higher density, mixed use developments with connected street networks encourage more local walking for transport. Encouraging active forms of transportation is not only beneficial for traffic management and the environment, but is also very beneficial for the health and wellbeing of residents.

This report outlines the development of a Transport Walkability Index. Drawing on research initiated in the United States and further developed in Adelaide and Perth, we have developed and mapped a walkability index with three components found to be associated with walking for transport: mixed use planning, population density and street connectivity. This could be used by decision-makers to identify areas for renewal to increase local walkability; and to monitor progress towards creating more walkable neighbourhoods over time.

We welcome feedback about the transport walkability index and its use to inform policy and practice.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>Australian Bureau of Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANDS</td>
<td>Australian National Data Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AURIN</td>
<td>Australian Urban Research Infrastructure Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIS</td>
<td>Geographical Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGA</td>
<td>Local Government Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPA</td>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMF</td>
<td>Regional Management Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA1</td>
<td>Statistical Area 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There is consistent evidence that the combination of higher residential densities, well-connected street networks and mixed land uses are positively associated with people walking for transport to local destinations.\textsuperscript{10, 11, 15} Hence, across the world, these variables have been combined into a transport walkability index using a methodology first developed by Frank in the United States,\textsuperscript{4, 16} then trialled in Adelaide Australia for the PLACE study\textsuperscript{17} and further developed in Perth for the RESIDE study.\textsuperscript{11, 18} Using this index, people living in neighbourhoods with connected street works, higher population density and a variety of local destinations (e.g., jobs, shops, services,\textsuperscript{b}) score higher on the transport walkability index. In contrast sprawling areas with cul-de-sacs, lower population densities and fewer local destinations receive a lower score.

The Transport Walkability Index has numerous applications including the ability to inform policymakers and planners where investment is required to improve the walkability of an area. If repeated over time, it can be used to monitor progress over time. Can the walkability of an area be enhanced? Some of the options available to improve walkability include improving the street network connections for walking; focussing urban densification and development around public transport hubs which provide local shops and services; and designing new greenfield developments, and retrofitting sprawling neighbourhoods, with medium to high density developments with active street frontages.\textsuperscript{19} The walkability index could therefore be used to provide input into planning future communities and urban renewal. Hence this report describes the development of a Transport Walkability Index for Metropolitan Melbourne. The index was calculated using spatial data in Metropolitan Melbourne and visualised in a thematic map.
Calculating the Transport Walkability Index

The Transport Walkability Index has been calculated based on the collaboration between health researchers and geographical information specialists working together to answer the question “What makes a pedestrian-friendly neighbourhood and how can it be measured?” The goal is to measure transport walkability, the importance of the input datasets and measurement procedures.

Input data

Calculating the Transport Walkability Index required the input of three main datasets residential density, street connectivity and land use mix. Figure 1 below outlines the source and geography of these datasets each of which have been imported and harmonised within a Geographic Information Systems (GIS) platform.

Further information relating to the importance of each dataset and the method used to calculate the transport walkability index is outlined below.

Not Applicable Areas

Areas determined as being not applicable for walking for transport have been modelled based on the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) SEIFA index and the criteria for this includes:

- has no usual addresses;
- the usual resident population is less than or equal to 10;
- the area is classified as off-shore or migratory;
- there are less than 6 employed persons;
- there are less than 6 classifiable occupied private dwellings;
- the proportion of people in private dwellings is less than or equal to 20% and
- the denominator of a variable in the index is less than 6.

Residential density

Residential density is an important variable for the creation of walkable neighbourhoods. Higher density neighbourhoods generally result in the creation of more uses of land decreasing the distance between home and local destinations.

For the Transport Walkability Index the gross dwelling density was calculated by dividing the number of dwellings within a small statistical area (defined by the ABS) by the area of the statistical area. More semi-rural areas with very low gross residential densities of less than 3 dwellings per hectare were excluded from the analysis along with areas without a population because they were deemed to represent either a peri-urban or non-relevant environment.

Street connectivity

One factor used to assess the degree of sprawl in a community is the degree to which streets networks are connected. Well connected street networks facilitate both the provision of direct pedestrian routes as well as supporting route diversity when travelling between an origin and destination. Higher levels of street connectivity have been positively associated with walking for transport. The two examples provided in Figure 2 below illustrate the different distances able to be walked in areas of high and low walkable areas.

For the transport walkability index street, connectivity was calculated by identifying intersections with 3 or streets forming an intersection. The number of intersections meeting this criterion was then divided by the area of the SA1. Intersections were extracted from road network data.

Land use mix

Neighbourhoods which support walking for transport also include a range of complementary land uses that are located together in a balanced mix, including residential development, shops, employment, and community and recreation facilities. This is because the diversity of destinations means that people are able to walk to services and jobs.
Other benefits of a high level of mixed land use for walking are the enhanced vitality and perceived security of areas; these are improved through the increase in natural surveillance, which can be attributed to the higher number of people on the street and in public spaces.

For the Transport Walkability Index, land use mix was derived based on the Valuer-General Victoria (2010) dataset. Using the Australian Valuation Property Classification Code (AVPCC) each land parcel was classified according to five categories: residential, retail, commercial, community (e.g. schools, libraries), and recreation facilities (excluding public open space). The land use categories were selected based on research that has shown that these types of land use are associated with walking for transport. Each land parcel was assigned a single land use. Where there are multiple land uses in a single parcel (e.g., a building with a shop on the ground floor, and apartments above) a single land use was selected based on the following priority: retail, commercial, community, recreation (excluding public open space), residential, and other. Land use classes were based on classes used by the Western Australia Ministry for Planning. The priority was determined by researchers who considered the likelihood of the land use being treated as a destination to walk to and was based on previous analyses by Christian and colleagues.

Bringing the data together to form the Transport Walkability Index

Each of the values for the input datasets (Residential Density, Street Connectivity and Land Use Mix) were normalised (via z-scores), and all the values were then brought into the range 0-1. The final Transport Walkability Index was calculated by summing the z-scores for dwelling density, street connectivity, and land use mix (this method has been adapted to the data available in Melbourne, however it follows a similar method as previous studies presented by Christian and colleagues and Frank and colleagues.

For ease of interpretation, the Transport Walkability Index scores have been scaled so they can be interpreted as deciles for visualisation and analysis, rather than using the sum of the z-scores. The individual index scores are still provided for research purposes within the output, and can still be interrogated within a GIS platform.
Within the map it is possible to detect anomalies in which the map deviates from expected results. For example the Docklands located on the edge of the Melbourne CBD has been developed as a shopping and entertainment precinct. However, the statistical area to the west of the Docklands is scored within the third least walkable areas in Metropolitan Melbourne. This score is the result of a number of factors including the road network which is relatively linear and does not contain many intersections, the relatively low land use mix (at the time of data collection), and the large geographic area. It is understood that this area is undergoing rapid change and development and we will monitor progress overtime, when we update the Transport Walkability Index in the future.

Maps provide the ability to visualise the Transport Walkability Index in a geographic context. The following series of walkability maps illustrate the results of the index across Metropolitan Melbourne. Levels of transport walkability are presented as ‘deciles of walkability’ with the more walkable areas indicated in shades of green, with the most walkable areas in darkest green. Low walkable areas, on the other hand, are shown in shades of orange (i.e., less walkable) to red (i.e., least walkable). As can be seen, most of the outer areas generally exhibit low transport walkability, while inner Melbourne is generally shown in shades of green indicating much higher transport walkability.

Figure 3: Metropolitan Melbourne Transport Walkability Index
Finally, the Transport Walkability Index we have developed includes only three variables: street connectivity, mixed land use and density. A number of other variables could be added: for example, traffic exposure, access to footpaths and access to public transport are also important. Future iterations of the Transport Walkability Index could be validated to include other environmental characteristics known to be associated with whether or not residents walk.

CHALLENGES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

When developing the Transport Walkability Index a number of challenges and limitations were faced. Here we list some of the most important challenges and some recommendations on how to overcome them in the future.

First, the quality of the output rests on the quality and completeness of each of the input data layers. The datasets used in the analysis originate from data collections undertaken in 2010, 2011, and 2012 and this will impact the results. We strongly recommend that agencies make the relevant data readily available for use as developed as this will enable the production of the most up-to-date maps possible.

NEXT STEPS

Translating the results of research into policy and practice is the key driver for developing the walkability index. We look forward to local and state government feedback in this next phase. However, in the meantime, the results of the study are currently being formatted into Local Government Areas and will shortly be distributed through Community Indicators Victoria (www.communityindicators.net.au).
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